

Score	President's Priorities - Student Success, World Class Academics and/or Research, Institutional Competitiveness, Outreach and Impact, Strengthening Democracy. Impact to priorities shall be measured against metrics defined in the Strategic Roadmap 2035 document.	Sustainability - Supports University Sustainability Scoring Criteria (https://green.colostate.edu/projectsustainability/)	Recapitalization - Project includes demolition or revitalization of existing facility or infrastructure	Collaboration - To what extent does the project reflect cross-unit, interdisciplinary, or external partnerships?	Space Need - To what extent does this project alleviate an existing space quantity or quality deficiency?	Financial Return - What is this project's estimated payback period?	Financial Return Examples
5	<i>Project has direct, measurable impact to three or more of the president's priorities, with national/global visibility and outcomes.</i>	<i>Project advances 7 or more of the Sustainability Scoring Criteria with measurable outcomes.</i>	<i>Project demolishes or revitalizes facility with a Facility Condition Index (FCI) of 59.99 or below OR project demolishes or revitalizes infrastructure that is >50% beyond expected useful life.</i>	<i>Project is a model of collaboration, with multiple colleges, departments, and/or external partners jointly sponsoring, funding, or programming the facility. Clear evidence of shared governance, resources, and long-term commitment across units.</i>	<i>Critical - Space is essential to CSU core mission (instruction, research, compliance, safety). Lack of space is actively impeding core mission operations.</i>	<i>Project achieves payback within 5 years. Produces direct, measurable financial benefit to CSU.</i>	<i>Research facility leasing to external partners; energy or utility projects with rapid payback; auxiliary expansion (housing, dining, parking) with high net margins.</i>
4	<i>Project has direct, measurable impact to three or more of the president's priorities, with state-wide visibility and outcomes.</i>	<i>Project advances 5-6 of the Sustainability Scoring Criteria with measurable outcomes.</i>	<i>Project demolishes or revitalizes facility with an FCI of 60-69.99 OR project demolishes or revitalizes infrastructure that is 25-50% beyond expected useful life</i>	<i>Project involves two or more colleges, departments, or external partners with strong shared use, funding contributions, or integrated programming. Demonstrates significant coordination and broad institutional benefit.</i>	<i>High - Space is important to CSU core mission and its shortage is impacting core mission efficiency or program growth.</i>	<i>Payback in 5–7 years. Strong, well-documented savings or revenue stream.</i>	<i>Student housing project with net-positive cash flow; IT system eliminating annual third-party service contracts; revenue-generating athletics or event venues.</i>
3	<i>Project has direct, measurable impact to at least one of the president's priorities, with local visibility and outcomes.</i>	<i>Project advances 3-4 of the Sustainability Scoring Criteria with measurable outcomes.</i>	<i>Project demolishes or revitalizes facility with an FCI of 70-79.99 OR project demolishes or revitalizes infrastructure that is 10-25% beyond expected useful life</i>	<i>Project demonstrates collaboration across at least two CSU units or with one external partner. Shared space or partial funding commitments exist, but involvement may be limited in scope or duration.</i>	<i>Moderate - Space supports mission but current condition or availability is inadequate. Improvement needed immediately.</i>	<i>Payback in 7-10 years. Financial benefit is clear but slower to recover or partially indirect.</i>	<i>Classroom or research space that avoids lease costs; digital upgrades reducing labor or vendor costs; multi-unit renovation reducing operating inefficiencies.</i>
2	<i>Project has indirect impact to multiple presidential priorities.</i>	<i>Project advances 2 of the Sustainability Scoring Criteria with measurable outcomes.</i>	<i>Project revitalizes facility with an FCI of 80-89.99 OR project demolishes or revitalizes infrastructure that is 0-10% beyond expected useful life</i>	<i>Project includes minor collaboration (e.g., consultation or limited shared use), but the facility is primarily for a single unit's needs. Cross-unit or external benefits are secondary.</i>	<i>Low - Space supports mission but current condition or availability is adequate short-term. Improvement needed within 2–3 years.</i>	<i>Payback in 10-15 years. Financial return is limited or uncertain.</i>	<i>Preventive system replacement reducing future cost spikes; partial self-funding through fees or grants; operational efficiency gains with limited cash impact.</i>
1	<i>Project has indirect impact to one presidential priority.</i>	<i>Project advances 1 of the Sustainability Scoring Criteria with measurable outcomes.</i>	<i>Project revitalizes facility with an FCI of 90-100 OR project demolishes or revitalizes infrastructure that is in the final 10% of expected useful life</i>	<i>Project shows nominal collaboration, such as input from another unit, but no meaningful shared resources, space, or governance.</i>	<i>Minimal - Space is non-essential or supplementary and current conditions are serviceable, with minimal operational impacts.</i>	<i>Payback >15 years; small incidental savings may exist.</i>	<i>Program-specific improvements with limited financial effect; renovations that slightly reduce O&M workload.</i>
0	<i>Project not aligned with president's priorities.</i>	<i>Project does not advance any of the Sustainability Scoring Criteria.</i>	<i>Project does not demolish or revitalize an existing facility or infrastructure</i>	<i>Project is fully isolated to one unit with no evidence of collaboration or broader benefit.</i>	<i>None - Space type is not currently needed or duplicative of existing capacity. Project may be deferred without consequence.</i>	<i>No measurable financial return. Entirely cost-based with no revenue or savings potential.</i>	<i>Donor-funded beautification; art installations; non-utilized ceremonial or display spaces; aesthetic-only improvements.</i>